1	PANO STEPHENS, ESQ., SB# 42122 Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1548 Ukiah, California 95482-1548 Tel: (707) 462-1996 Fax: (707) 462-1999 MARTIN REILLEY, ESQ., SB# 83697	
2		
3		
4		
5	THOMAS KEVIN KONIČEK, ESQ., SB# 11074 LANAHAN & REILLEY LLP	4
6	6 Attorneys at Law 600 Bicentennial Way, Ste. 300	
7	Santa Rosa, California 95403 Tel: (707) 524-4200	
8	Fax: (707) 523-4610	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff PHILLIP CHAMPION	
10		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	OAKLAND DIVISION	
14		
15	PHILLIP CHAMPION,	CASE NO.: C 04-0101 CW
16	Plaintiff,	E-Filing Case
17	V.	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST THAT THE COURT
18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,,	CONSIDER PLAINTIFF'S SURREPLY BRIEF IN MAKING ITS
19	Defendant.	DETERMINATION DETERMINATION
20		Date : May 27, 2005 Time : 10:00 a.m.
21		Place : Courtroom 2 Hon. Claudia Wilkens
22		
23	Plaintiff PHILLIP CHAMPION respectfully requests that the Court consider Plaintiff's Surreply to Defendant's Noticed Motion and Reply Motion in Support of Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction (FRCP 12(b)(1), or Alternatively, for Summary Judgment	
24		
25		
26	(hereafter referred to as "Reply") in making its determination or, alternatively, to continue the	
27	hearing date to allow Plaintiff additional time to conduct further discovery in light of new evidence	
28	nearing date to anow Fiantini additional time to co	madel futurer discovery in right of new evidence

1

6

7

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

raised in Reply papers submitted on behalf of Defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

In response to the Government's underlying Motion, plaintiff filed an Opposition and Counter-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. In reply, the Government has, in part, responded to the counter-motion and Plaintiff respectfully requests the opportunity to reply. Moreover, in its reply, the Government relies heavily on the declaration of an expert witness who previously has never been disclosed to Plaintiff. Plaintiff is simultaneously filing an objection to this evidence (see Objections to evidence proffered by Defendant in support of its Motion to Dismiss or alternatively, Summary Judgment). But should the Court not sustain the objection, plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant plaintiff the opportunity to conduct discovery (including the retention of possible rebuttal experts) concerning this new expert and the issues he raises. (F.R.C.P. 56(e) and (f); and Civil L.R. 6-3, 7-2, 7-3, 7-4.)

LANAHAN & REILLEY LLP

DATED: May 19, 2005 By Thomas Kevin Konicek

Attorneys for Plaintiff, PHILLIP CHAMPION

ORDER

The court having received and considered Plaintiff Phillip Champion's request to consider a surreply brief, or in the alternative, that the court grant additional time to allow Plaintiff to conduct further/additional discovery; and good cause appearing

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED

That Plaintiff's surreply be allowed;

Dated: May 31, 2005

SR/423076/med

Case 4:04-cv-00101-CW Document 60 Filed 05/31/05 Page 3 of 3 /s/ CLAUDIA WILKEN Judge of the United States District Court Lanahan & Reilley $^{\mathrm{m}}$ PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE SURREPLY CASE NO. C 04-0101 CW

BRIEF IN MAKING ITS DETERMINATION, ETC.